UKaaz

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.54085/ap.2024.13.1.111

Annals of Phytomedicine: An International Journal http://www.ukaazpublications.com/publications/index.php

Print ISSN: 2278-9839

**Online ISSN : 2393-9885** 



# **Original Article : Open Access**

# Development, characterization and acute toxicity study of nanoemulsion containing thymoquinone, hesperetin along with vitamin C for improving oral bioavailability

Gazala Noor\*, Badruddeen\*\*, Juber Akhtar\*\*, Mohammad Ahmad\* and Mohammad Irfan Khan\*\*\*

\* Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Integral University, Lucknow-226026, Uttar Pradesh, India

\*\* Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, Integral University, Lucknow-226026, Uttar Pradesh, India

\*\*\* Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Integral University, Lucknow-226026, Uttar Pradesh, India

| Article Info  | Abstract   |
|---|--|
| Article history<br>Received 11 May 2024   | Thymoquinone, hesperetin and vitamin C are well known for their potential pharmacological properties such as antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory and antidiabetic activity, <i>etc.</i> Poor oral   |
| Revised 22 June 2024  | bioavailability owning to limited aqueous solubility of thymoquinone and hesperetin limits its effective   |
| Accepted 21 June 2024   | therapeutic delivery. Vitamin C is a water soluble drug but it is degraded by alteration of stomach pH   |
| Published Online 30 June 2024   | leading to instability. The aim of this study is to develop a combined nanoformulation to enhance the  |
|   | - oral bioavailability that can provide synergestic effect. The optimized nanoformulation (NE-17) was  |
| Keywords<br>Oral delivery<br>Nanoemulsion<br>Solubility<br>Bioavailability<br><i>In vitro</i> release | found to be clear and transparent with mean particle size 19.96 nm, 22.33 zeta potential, droplet size >60 nm, PDI 0.129, viscosity 9.62, refractive index 1.312 with 98.9% transmittance. <i>In vitro</i> release rate of NE-17 was found to be higher ( $86.97 \pm 2.51\%$ , $87.34 \pm 2.29\%$ , $89.24 \pm 2.59$ ) in simulated gastric fluid and ( $90.45 \pm 4.009\%$ , $89.81 \pm 3.12\%$ , $88.07 \pm 2.23\%$ ) simulated intestinal fluid than conventional. Oral bioavailability of NE-17 was considered to be highly significant (** $p$ <0.001) with a C <sub>max</sub> ( $15.23 \pm 0.22 \mu g/ml$ , $14.83 \pm 0.67 \mu g/ml$ , $14.33 \pm 0.98 \mu g/ml$ ), AUC <sub>0.24</sub> ( $594.01 \pm 0.23 \mu gh/ml$ , $598.32 \pm 0.98 \mu g/ml$ ) ml, $607.09 \pm 0.23 \mu gh/ml$ , AUCo- $\infty$ ( $595.09 \pm 0.87$ , $645.41 \pm 0.91$ , $798.05 \pm 0.43$ ) and t <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> ( $4.9 h$ , $4.7 h$ , $5.0 h$ ), respectively. T <sub>max</sub> was found to be ( $2.34 \pm 0.34 h$ , $2.12 \pm 0.12 h$ , $2.78 \pm 0.12 h$ ) that was highly significant (** $p$ <0.001) when compared with pure drug suspension. In acute toxicity, rats were subjected |
|   | for a single oral dose (2000 mg/kg body weight) of NE-17 and monitored for 14 days. The results showed no sign of morbidity and mortality in any of the treated groups. Hematological, biochemical and histological assay was found to be normal when compared to control rats. This study result indicates that developed nanoemulsion was safe and improved the oral bioavailability as compared to conventional formulation by exhibited maximum <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> drug release.   |

# 1. Introduction

Oral drug delivery system is an expedient method as it increases conformance of a patient comparison to other route. However oral administration of poor aqueous soluble drugs has some disadvantages due to their low bioavailability, permeability, poor dissolution rate, and instability in gastrointestinal fluid (GIT) which obstacle their clinical application (Ye et al., 2020). A drug substance must release drug particle to systemic circulation at an ideal place in order to produce the intended therapeutic response, mainly relies on the bioavailability of the drug. To overcome these constraints of hydrophobic drugs, nanotechnology based formulations have been invented such as nanocrystals, nanoparticles, phytosome, liposomes, nanoemulsion, and self emulsifying drug delivery system, etc. (Moksha, et al., 2015; Alhakamy et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2018; Deka et al., 2021; Jahan et al., 2023; Aqeel et al., 2022; Aqeel et al., 2023). Nanoemulsion appeared to gained more attention across these techniques, due to their lower toxicity and potential to substantially

Corresponding author: Dr. Badruddeen Professor, Faculty of Pharmacy, Integral University, Lucknow-226026, Uttar Pradesh, India E-mail: badarmiracle@gmail.com Tel.: +91-9918196188

Copyright © 2024Ukaaz Publications. All rights reserved. Email: ukaaz@yahoo.com; Website: www.ukaazpublications.com

increase the bioavailability. It is a thermodynamically stable isotropic system of a two immiscible liquid, size range is 10-100 nm. It forms two types of emulsion either water in oil (w/o) or oil in water (o/w) by using surfactant as well as cosurfactant. Nanoemulsion prepared by either low or high energy method. Low energy method is most preferable method as it required less energy and protect the active ingredient from physical destruction. Some drugs are thermolabile in nature; they can deteriorate by high energy method (Zhang et al., 2014; Srivastava et al., 2018). In this study, the nanoemulsion has been developed to prevent the drug from enzymatic degradations as well as enhanced bioavailability of poor water soluble drug, give better absorption, high permeability, dissolution rate and good stability. This unique attribute of nanoemulsion increase drugs therapeutic efficacy and render desired therapeutic effect (Alhamdany et al., 2021). In this research article thymoquinone (TQ), hesperetin (HP) and vitamin C (vit. C) loaded combination nanoformulation was developed in order to implement a controlled released within therapeutic window and overcome the rest of limitations of hydrophobic and hydrophilic drug as well as reduces the side effect that could act as a functional food. Thymoguinone (Kamel et al., 2011) and hesperetin both are hydrophobic phytochemicals used against wide variety of disease (Kyung et al., 2003). Thymoquinone obtained from plant Nigella sativa, belongs to the family Ranunculaceae (Jafri et al., 2010). It has acquired substantial

importance owning to its medicinal properties including immunomodulating, chemoprotective, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antidiabetic, etc. (Mahgoub et al., 2003). Hesperetin (hesperetin 7-O-rutinoside) is the aglycon of hesperedin constitute from citrus fruits. It possess antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anticancer, immunomodulating and hepatoprotective activity (Bai et al., 2017). Vitamin C additionally referred to as ascorbic acid is an essential nutrient found in potatoes, citrus fruits, peppers, berries, etc (Qin et al., 2019). Vitamin C showed several medicinal properties, viz: immunomodulating (Jeong et al., 2011), antioxidant (Xiong et al., 2017), anti-inflammatory (Raeeszadeh et al., 2021), antidiabetic, etc. (Alper et al., 2006). Therapeutic potential of both thymoquinone and hesperetin has impeded because of their poor bioavailability. Intestinal bacterial enzyme degraded C ring of hesperetin leads to fabricated degraded product by first pass metabolism and affect its bioavailability (Zeng et al., 2021). Thymoquinone similar as hesperetin undergoes first pass metabolism and decreased bioavailability (Rathore et al., 2022). Clinical use of vitamin C inspite of many health benefits is restricted due to its poor stability in the body (Raeeszadeh et al., 2021) and physiological environment. Vitamin C consist of 4 hydroxyls and 1 lactone group which get oxidized and degraded when came into the contact of air, light, pH alteration and higher temperature produces dehydroascorbic acid product, which disrupted its bioavailability (Tian et al., 2009; Gupta et al., 2023). Several separate nanoformulations of thymoquinone (Harkaeh et al., 2021; Rathore et al., 2022), hesperetin (Maiti et al., 2009; Zeng et al., 2021) and vitamin C (Duarah et al., 2017; Luo et al., 2018; Raeeszadeh et al., 2021) have been developed to increased their bioavailability, but no study have been found involving these phytochemicals together in one formulation (Shariq et al., 2023; Kucuksayan et al., 2021; Kumari et al., 2023). For this reason thymoquinone, hesperetin and vitamin C in combination loaded in nanoemulsion by low energy method to offer an elegant approach for the delivery of water insoluble drug as well as preventing drug degradation of thymoquinone hesperetin and vitamin C. In addition formulation enhanced the oral bioavailability as well as improving the absorption of drug from intestine. Although, formulation may impart economically advantage and provide alternative to the current pharmacological treatment against disease due to presence of several activity.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Materials

Bioactive thumoquinone (98%), hesperetin (99%), methanol, and dialysis bag all were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Missouri). Vitamin C procured from Yarrow Chem Products. Propylene glycol caprylate (Sefsol 218) was delivered from Nikko Chemicals Co. Ltd. Surfactant and cosurfactant were bought from M/s Qualikam fine Chemicals Ltd. Isopropyl myristate (IPM), triacetin, castor oil, olive oil and distilled water were procured from Merck Pvt. Ltd. India. Each drug and chemicals were of analytical grade and suitable for oral use.

#### 2.2 Method

#### 2.2.1 Solibility of TQ, HP and vit. C

The screening was done to obtain maximum solubility of drug in different oils. Separately three ml sefsol 218, IPM, triacetin, olive, and castor oil, were taken in 5 ml of glass vial. An excess amount of

TQ and HP were added and vigorously mixed by vortex mixture. Similarly maximum amount of vit. C was added in 3 ml of water until solution became saturated. Each sample was kept on isothermal shaker to attained equilibrium at a controlled temperature  $(25 \pm 1.0^{\circ}\text{C})$  for 72 h (Akhtar *et al.*, 2016; Ahmad *et al.*, 2017). Subsequently mixture was centrifuge for 15 min at 3000 rpm. The supernatant was filtered by 0.45 µm membrane filter and concentration was determined using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) at wavelength 254, 288 and 243 nm, respectively (Ahmad *et al.*, 2018; Yousaf *et al.*, 2016). Miscibility of surfactant (Tween 20, 60, 80) and cosurfactant (PEG 200, 400 and 600) were also evaluated separately and visually observed turbidity, phase separation or cracking (Rathore *et al.*, 2022).

#### 2.2.2 Preparation of phase ternary diagram

Nanoemulsion was formulated by water titration method. In a different volume ratio (1:0, 1:1, 1:2, 2:1) surfactant and cosurfactant ( $S_{mix}$ ) was combined. Oil and  $S_{mix}$  (each) ratio was mixed in different ratio from 1:9, 1:8, 1:7, 1:6, 1:5, 2:8 (1:4), 1:3.5, 1:3, 3:7 (1:2.3), 1:2, 4:6 (1:1.5), 5:5 (1:1), 6:4 (1:0.7), 7:3 (1:0.43), 8:2 (1:0.25), 9:1 (1:0.1) and titrated with water (Akhtar *et al.*, 2014; Akhtar *et al.*, 2016). Each 5 % drop wise water added to the oil and  $S_{mix}$  mixture for observation of any sign of turbidity. The end point, at which nanoemulsion region appeared, plotted in ternary phase diagram with one axis water phase, second  $S_{mix}$  and third represent oil phase by using software (Prism Ternary Diagram 1.0) Pune India (Patel *et al.*, 2013).

#### 2.2.3 Thermodynamic stability evaluation

After achieving the nanoemulsion region selected formulation was undergo stability test including centrifugation, heating cooling and freeze thaw cycle. In heating cooling parameter for each temperature cycle ( $45^{\circ}$ C and  $0^{\circ}$ C) formulation was incubated for 48 h. Centrifugation was done at 5000 rpm for 30 min. Formulation was kept on -20°C and 20°C temperature in freeze thaw cycle (Khani *et al.*, 2016; Ahmad *et al.*, 2018).

#### 2.2.4 Dispersibility test

To evaluate the efficacy of nanoemulsion 1 ml of each formulation (those passed the thermodynamic stability test) was mixed to 500 ml of 0.1Normal HCl and distilled water in a USP dissolution apparatus type 2 with rotating paddle at 75 rpm. Temperature was set at  $37 \pm 0.5$ °C. Visual observation was made by below mentioned grading system.

- Grade A: Formulation showing clear appearance with in 1 min.
- Grade B: Slight clear emulsion formed.
- Grade C: Slight milky emulsion produced within 2 min.
- Grade D: Faintly greasy look dull white emulsion formed after 2 min.
- Grade E: Larger oil globule appears on the formulation surface, represent poor emulsification.

#### 2.2.5 Loading of drug in to formulation

Formulation that passed the Grade A system was chosen for the drug loading. 20 mg drug was dissolved using smallest concentration of  $S_{mix}$  and oil (10%, 15%, 20% and 25%).

#### 2.2.6 Dynamic light scattering assessment (DLS)

The DLS approach which uses a zetasizer ZS 90 (Malvern instrument) was used to examine the particle size, zeta potential and polydispersibility index (PDI). For light scattering evaluation formulation was diluted in 2 ml distilled water and observed at 25°C.

#### 2.2.7 Viscosity measurement

The viscosity of the formulation was measured to know the formulation is either o/w or w/o emulsion. Brookefiled viscometer with spindle 40 was used to determine viscosity at room temperature (Alhamdany *et al.*, 2021; Ahmad *et al.*, 2018).

# 2.2.8 Electrical conductivity, refractive index and percentage transmittance determination

Conductometer, CDM 230 was used to measure the formulation conductivity with a frequency 94Hz and cell constant of  $0.11 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at  $25 \pm 1$  °C. Refractivity was determined by applying one drop of nanoemulation to the slide of Abbemat refractometer at 25 °C. The percentage transmittance was assessed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

## 2.2.9 Transmittance of electron microscopy (TEM)

Using TEM, droplet structure was examined (Zeiss jenna germany). On a 200 mesh film grid, a single drop of 100 fold diluted sample was applied and allowed to dry at room temperature. Uranyl acetate was used to stain the sample, which was then exposed to the electron microscope at 200 KV (Khani *et al.*, 2016; Suyal *et al.*, 2022).

#### 2.3 In vitro drug release

*In vitro* release study was carried out in simulated intestinal (pH 6.8) and gastric (pH 1.2) fluid, 900 ml of each using dissolution apparatus-II at 50 rpm at a room temperature. Dialysis bag was filled with one ml of drug loaded nanoemulsion. One ml of sample was taken out at regular interval 0, 0.5, 1, 2.4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18 till up to 24 h and a little amount of each simulated fluid was substituted. Sample was determined by using HPLC at 254, 288 and 243 nm. Drug release of formulation was compared with conventional formulation.

## 2.3.1 Stability study

In glass bottle optimized nanoemulsion was kept at three different temperature with relative humidity  $(25^{\circ}C/60^{\circ}RH, 40^{\circ}C/65^{\circ}RH, and 60^{\circ}C/75^{\circ}RH)$  and observed for 0, 30,60 and 90 days under stability chamber Thermolab, Central Drug Research Institute (CDRI) Lucknow. The reason behind performing stability is to check that how the quality of the drug varies under the influence of temperature, humidity and shelf-life (Rathore *et al.*, 2022; Akhtar *et al.*, 2014; Hosny *et al.*, 2020).

#### 2.3.2 In vivo oral drug release

Sparague Dawley (SD) rats (175-200 g) were purchased from CDRI Lucknow after taking endorsement from Integral University, Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC), Lucknow with approval number (IU/IAEC/22/12). Pharmacokinetic study was conducted to find out whether the developed formulation may increase the oral bioavailability as compare to conventional drug. Rats were divided into 2 groups, six rats in each. Group 1: received 22.5 mg/kg TQ, HP and vit. C containing nanoemulsion (NE-17) was

given orally. While Group 2: given 22.5 mg/kg TQ, HP and vit. c containing conventional suspension. The animals were anaesthetized by diethyl ether and blood sample withdrawn at different interval (0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 up to 24 h) from retro orbital in anticoagulant tube. Afterward blood sample was centrifuge at 10000 rpm for 10 min to accomplished plasma, stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C bioavailability of formulation in plasma was done by HPLC (Chavhan *et al.*, 2013).

#### 2.3.3 Acute oral toxicity study

According to organisation for economic co-operation and development (OECD), guideline acute toxicity study was performed in SD rats. Animals were divided in 2 groups: (1) experimental group (animals receiving a single dose of NE-17, at 2000 mg/kg, orally), and (2) normal control (animals received 2 ml of normal saline, orally). Each group consisting of 3 animals in each. All animals were observed for clinical signs of toxicity such as mucus membrane, fur, skin, eyes and behavioral changes. Other parameters like tremors, salivation, diarrhea, sleep and coma were also observed. Normal body weight and food as well as water consumption were observed for 14 days and compared with control group (Alotaibi *et al.*, 2018).

#### 2.3.4 Collection of blood sample

After 14 days of observation, all rats of both group were anesthetized with ketamine xylazine (10 mg/kg, body weight) by intraperitoneal injection (I.P), blood sample was collected by retro-orbital plexus for estimation of hematological and biochemicals. Animals were euthanized by cervical dislocation, and vital organs were dissected out for macroscopic/ microscopic evaluation.

#### 2.3.5 Hematological and biochemical analyses

Using an automated haematology analyzer (Sysmex-XT-1800, Norderstedt, Germany), haematological parameters were examined. All parameters that were assessed included: white blood cells (WBC), platelet count, mean corpuscular volume (MCV), haemoglobin concentration (Hb), hematocrit, and red blood cells (RBC), *etc*. Aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), alanine transaminase (ALT) were assessed for liver function. Albumin, globulin, blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and serum creatinine levels were measured for renal function.

#### 2.3.6 Histopathological analysis

All vital organs, *viz*: brain, liver, spleen, heart, and kidney of all animals were collected, and weighed. Relative organ weight of all rats were calculated and kept in 10% buffered formalin for histopathology evaluation (Zhao *et al.*, 2013).

#### 2.3.7 Statistical analysis

All value were expressed mean  $\pm$  SD for statistical significance by analysis of variance (ANNOVA) followed by Brown forsythe test using software graph pad prism.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Solubility study

This study was performed to achieve pertinent oil, surfactant and cosurfactant as components for the development of nanoemulsion. The maximum solubility of both TQ and hesperetin were found in sefsol 218 (153.6 mg/ml, 144.9 mg/ml) as compared to sefsol 228

(84.51, 108.2 mg/ml), isopropyl myristate (77.43, 62.82 mg/ml), olive oil (21.88, 18.36 mg/ml), triacetin (48.87, 43.03 mg/ml), followed by castor oil (32.70, 25.98 mg/ml) (Figure 1). Vitamin C solubility in water was found to be 230.2 mg/ml. Surfactant (Tween

80) and co surfactant (PEG 400) was found to be more miscible than Tween 20, 60, PEG 200 and 600. Therefore, Sefsol 218 as oil phases tween 80 and PEG 400 preferred as surfactant and cosurfactant for formulating nanoemulsion.



Figure 1: Solubility of TQ and HP.





#### 3.2 Phase ternary diagram

Nanoemulsion region comprises sefsol 218 as oil phase tween 80 and PEG 400 as  $S_{mix}$ , titrated with water as aqueous phase was achieved by construction of ternary phase diagram (Figure 2).100 ml stock solution of Tween 80 and PEG 400 ( $S_{mix}$ ) in each different volume ratio (1:0, 1:1, 1:2, 2:1  $\nu/\nu$ ) were prepared. Sefsol 218 and each  $S_{mix}$  was mixed in different volume ratio from 1:9 to 9:1 and titrated with water between 5-95% at every 5 min interval. Cosurfactant with hydrophilic and lipophillic nature is required to decrease the surface tension. Co surfactant break up liquid crystalline states, developed when the surfactant film is too hard, offer ability to flow easily by penetrating into the surfactant monolayer.  $S_{mix}$  in 2:1 ratio provided maximum nanoemulsion region (Bhosale *et al.*, 2016; Tubesha *et al.*, 2013; Ahmad *et al.*, 2018).

# 3.3 Physical stress and dispersibility evaluation

Physical stress and dispersibility study was performed for optimized nanoformulation (Table 1). Selected nanoemulsion which remain stable

in heating/cooling test, passed to the freeze thaw cycle than to centrifugation. In macroemulsion the interfacial energy exceeds entropy. A thermodynamically stable nanoemulsion forms as a result of free energy and dispersion entropy. Small droplet size thermally induced by temperature quenching, favour brownian movement, effectively disburses the particle to an optimum centrifugation with total free energy. Formulations that have passed these entire tests were further subjected to dispersibility test and rest of formulation discarded due to phase separation (Rocha et al., 2022). Distilled water and 0.1N HCl (GI fluid) were used as a dispersion media in dispersibility test to determine stability of formulation after oral administration. Prerequisite for a robust nanoemulsion, is formulation containing droplets in nanosize and indefinite dilution. It is in view of the fact that GI fluid will cause the surfactant to desorb gradually at interface of the globule. Formulation that passed grade A was considered for further evaluation and grade B, C, D and E were discarded.

Table 1: Thermodynamic stability and dispersibility test of nanoemulsion

| Formulation | S <sub>mix</sub> | % Oil | % S <sub>mix</sub> | % H <sub>2</sub> O | Thermod                                     | Thermodynamic stability tests |                     |           |                  |        |  |  |
|-------------|------------------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------------|--------|--|--|
| code        | ratio            |       |                    |                    | Heating Freeze<br>and thaw<br>cooling cycle |                               | Centri-<br>fugation | Disper    | rsibility        |        |  |  |
|             |                  |       |                    |                    | cooling<br>cycle                            | cycle                         |                     | 0.1 N HCl | H <sub>2</sub> O |        |  |  |
| NE1         | 1:0              | 9.09  | 36.36              | 54.55              | Р   | x                             | -                   | -         | -                | Failed |  |  |
| NE2         |                  | 3.00  | 7.00               | 90.00              | Р   | Р                             | Р                   | А         | А                | Passed |  |  |
| NE3         |                  | 4.44  | 15.56              | 80.00              | Р   | Р                             | x                   | А         | С                | Failed |  |  |
| NE4         |                  | 5.00  | 35.00              | 60.00              | х   | -                             | -                   | -         | -                | Failed |  |  |
| NE5         |                  | 3.88  | 31.07              | 65.05              | x   | -                             | -                   | -         | -                | Failed |  |  |
| NE6         | 1:1              | 7.02  | 28.07              | 64.91              | Р   | Р                             | x                   | С         | С                | Failed |  |  |
| NE7         |                  | 8.33  | 16.67              | 75.00              | х   | -                             | -                   | -         | -                | Failed |  |  |
| NE8         |                  | 10.00 | 30.00              | 60.00              | Р   | x                             | -                   | В         | В                | Failed |  |  |
| NE9         |                  | 3.00  | 23.00              | 75.00              | Р   | Р                             | x                   | С         | С                | Failed |  |  |
| NE10        | 1:2              | 4.55  | 40.91              | 54.55              | Р   | Р                             | Р                   | В         | В                | Failed |  |  |
| NE11        |                  | 4.00  | 16.00              | 80.00              | Р   | Р                             | Р                   | А         | А                | Passed |  |  |
| NE12        |                  | 10.00 | 30.00              | 60.00              | Р   | Р                             | x                   | В         | В                | Failed |  |  |
| NE13        | 2:1              | 3.08  | 12.31              | 84.62              | Р   | Р                             | Р                   | В         | В                | Failed |  |  |
| NE14        |                  | 1.43  | 3.33               | 95.24              | Р   | Р                             | Р                   | А         | А                | Passed |  |  |
| NE15        |                  | 5.00  | 10.00              | 85.00              | Р   | Р                             | Р                   | С         | С                | Failed |  |  |
| NE16        |                  | 5.00  | 15.00              | 80.00              | X   | -                             | -                   | -         | -                | Failed |  |  |
| NE17        |                  | 2.22  | 7.78               | 90.00              | Р   | Р                             | Р                   | А         | А                | Passed |  |  |
| NE18        |                  | 8.00  | 12.00              | 80.00              | Р   | Р                             | Р                   | В         | В                | Failed |  |  |
| NE19        |                  | 4.00  | 20.00              | 75.00              | Р   | Р                             | X                   | В         | В                | Failed |  |  |
| NE20        |                  | 5.00  | 30.00              | 65.00              | Р   | X                             | -                   | В         | В                | Failed |  |  |

Nanoemulsion (NE), P alphabet denotes to pass while letter X denote to fail.

# 3.4 Fabrication of drug loaded formulation

All three drugs (TQ, HP and vit. C) were added in the formulation which passed the dispersibility test.

#### 3.5 Charecterization of TQ, HP and vit. C loaded nanoformulation

#### 3.6 Visual observation

The nanoemulsion was found clear liquid as compare to macro emulsion which was cloudy/white in color (Figure 3).

#### 3.7 DLS and TEM evaluation

NE-17 has shown the best control of zeta potential, small particle size, and less than 60 nm droplet size compared to NE-02, NE-11 and NE-14 (Figure 4). Difference in droplet size may be attributing to variation in the penetration of sefsol oil into the hydrophobic region of  $S_{mix}$ . Low PDI value of NE-17 indicates uniformity of droplet size and homogenecity (Table 2). Therefore, NE-17 nanoformulation was selected for further study.



Figure 3: Observation of nanoemulsion vs macro emulsion.



Figure 4: NE-17 loaded nanoemulsion (A) zeta potential (B) particle size (C) TEM (droplet size).

F. code **Droplet** size Polydispersity Zeta Viscosity Conductivity Refractive index (PDI) potential (mV) µS/cm index (RI) (nm) (cPs) NE-02  $93.94 \pm 3.185$  $0.298 \pm 0.004$  $-10.867 \pm 0.878$  $11.32 \pm 0.031$  $470.99 \pm 1.079$  $1.341 \pm 0.004$ NE-11  $82.78 \pm 3.052$  $0.488 \pm 0.382$  $-14.467 \pm 4.843$  $11.89 \pm 0.066$  $467.35 \pm 1.068$  $1.376 \pm 0.002$ NE-14  $72.73 \pm 2.650$  $0.173 \pm 0.034$  $-17.01 \pm 3.228$  $10.57 \pm 0.522$  $475.37 \pm 0.796$  $1.337 \pm 0.006$ 

 $9.62 \pm 0.755$ 

 $489.92 \pm 0.456$ 

 $1.312 \pm 0.013$ 

 $-22.33 \pm 1.060$ 

Table 2: Charecterization of optimized nanoformulation

 $0.129 \pm 0.105$ 

Nanoemulsion (NE), Formulation code (F. code)

 $57.23 \pm 1.250$ 

NE-17



Figure 5: In vitro drug release of developed nanoformulation in simulated gastric fluid (A) and intestinal fluid (B).

%

transmittace

 $96.01 \pm 0.010$  $97.07 \pm 0.543$ 

 $97.12 \pm 0.962$  $98.92 \pm 0.612$ 

#### 3.8 In vitro drug release

The *in vitro* drug release of NE-17 nanoemulsion was evaluated and the concentration was calculated by plotting a graph between time and cumulative percentage release (Figure 5). NE-17 nanoemulsion showed maximum drug release with increased dissolution rate (86.97  $\pm 2.51\%$ , 87.34  $\pm 2.29\%$ , 89.24  $\pm 2.59$ ) in simulated gastric fluid in 24 h and (90.45  $\pm 4.009\%$ , 89.81  $\pm 3.12\%$ , 88.07  $\pm 2.23\%$ ) in simulated intestinal fluid first 4 h as compared to conventional formulation (21.99  $\pm 1.19\%$ , 22.34  $\pm 1.51\%$ , 30.14  $\pm 1.09\%$ ) in GI fluid while (26.65  $\pm 2.57\%$ , 24.05  $\pm 4.09\%$ , 27.15  $\pm 1.09\%$ ) in intestine fluid. The conventional formulation exhibited very low drug release after 6 h is may be due to larger particle size of drug. The fastest release during first 4 h is because of larger surface area and small particle or globule size.

#### Table 3: Accelerated stability of NE-17

#### 3.9 Accelerated stability evaluation

Accelerated stability parameter was performed for NE-17 nanoformulation by ICH guideline. As shown in Table 3, the average particle size distribution, droplet size, PDI, refractive index, viscosity and % transmittance did not change significantly at different temperature and humidity over a period of 3 months. At 90 days, a very slight changes in droplet size was found with decreasing transparency. The appearance of NE-17 loaded nanoemulsion was found to be clear and transparent, no precipitate was observed within 90 days. The smaller the droplet size having more stability thus does not cause any flocculation and aggregation.

| Condition     | Duration | Droplet size      | PDI           | Zeta potential | Viscosity     | Refractive    | %             |
|---------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| T (ºC)/RH%    | (days)   | (nm)              |               | (mV)           | (cPs)         | index (RI)    | transmittance |
| 25 ± 2/60 ± 5 | 30       | $57.23 \pm 1.250$ | 0.169 ± 0.012 | -22.33 ± 1.060 | 9.62 ± 0.755  | 1.312 ± 0.013 | 98.62 ± 0.612 |
|               | 60       | $59.01 \pm 0.111$ | 0.173 ± 0.143 | -21.06 ± 0.516 | 10.02 ± 0.587 | 1.320 ± 0.190 | 98.12 ± 1.120 |
|               | 90       | $61.21 \pm 1.321$ | 0.179 ± 0.341 | -21.01 ± 1.078 | 10.14 ± 1.102 | 1.329 ± 1.016 | 98.02 ± 0.980 |
| 40 ± 2/65 ± 5 | 30       | 57.10 ± 0.365     | 0.170 ± 1.024 | -21.12 ± 1.008 | 9.89 ± 0.074  | 1.318 ± 0.260 | 97.72 ± 0.732 |
|               | 60       | 58.22 ± 0.005     | 0.177 ± 0.074 | -20.41 ± 0.896 | 10.10 ± 1.365 | 1.326 ± 0.073 | 97.32 ± 0.412 |
|               | 90       | 61.56 ± 1.710     | 0.185 ± 0.014 | -19.35 ± 2.054 | 10.19 ± 0.222 | 1.349 ± 1.780 | 97.22 ± 0.962 |
| 60 ± 2/75 ± 5 | 30       | 58.35 ± 1.240     | 0.173 ± 1.056 | -21.62 ± 0.142 | 9.87 ± 1.840  | 1.332 ± 0.086 | 97.09 ± 1.750 |
|               | 60       | 59.88 ± 2.065     | 0.182 ± 0.168 | -20.22 ± 1.490 | 10.27 ± 0.072 | 1.338 ± 1.206 | 96.62 ± 0.092 |
|               | 90       | 61.47 ± 0.555     | 0.187 ± 0.019 | -19.99 ± 2.150 | 10.39 ± 1.406 | 1.370 ± 0.006 | 96.59 ± 1.012 |

Temperature (T), Relative humidity (RH).

#### 3.10 Pharmacokinetic study

In-vivo pharmacokinetic parameters of all three drugs loaded (NE-17) nanoemulsion was performed in order to determine whether the NE-17 nanoemulsion could improve the oral bioavailability. NE-17 exhibited maximum drug concentration ( $15.23 \pm 0.22 \ \mu g/ml$ ,  $14.83 \pm 0.67 \ \mu g/ml$ ,  $14.33 \pm 0.98 \ \mu g/ml$ ), *i.e.* (\*\*p<0.001) as compared to conventional suspension. The AUC<sub>0.24</sub>(594.01 ± 0.23 \ \mu gh/ml, 598.32 ± 0.98 \ \mu gh/ml,  $607.09 \pm 0.23 \ \mu gh/ml$ ),  $AUC_{0.\infty}$  (595.09 ± 0.87,  $645.41 \pm 0.91$ , 798.05 ± 0.43) and t<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> (4.9 h, 4.7 h, 5.0 h) was found to be highly significant than suspension (\*p<0.05) Figure 6. It is believed that a low  $T_{max}$  value contributes to the drug's fast action in the body. NE-17 nanoformulation showed lowest  $T_{max}$  (2.34 ± 0.34 h, 2.12 ± 0.12 h, 2.78 ± 0.12 h) than conventional. The improvement in bioavailability may be because of oil that increases the drug's solubility (Table 4). In addition, the membrane permeability could have changed as a consequence of the surfactant and cosurfactant present in the nanoemulsion system, allowing the concentration to reach its maximum in the shortest period of time. As a result, the current study demonstrated improved absorption and a faster onset of action for the oral bioavailability of drug.



Figure 6: Plasma concentration curve after oral administration of NE-17 and conventional formulation in SD rats.

 Table 4: Pharmacokinetic comparison between NE-17 and conventional

| Formulation (NE-17)     | T <sub>max</sub> (h) | C <sub>max</sub> (µg/ml) | AUC <sub>0-24</sub> (µgh/ml) | AUC <sub>0-∞</sub>   | t ½ (h) |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| TQ conventional         | $4.91 \pm 0.16*$     | $2.47 \pm 0.49*$         | 95.6 ± 0.16*                 | 99.3 ± 0.32*         | 2 .6*   |
| TQ NE                   | $2.34 \pm 0.34 **$   | $15.23 \pm 0.22 **$      | 594.01 ± 0.23**              | $595.09 \pm 0.87 **$ | 4.9**   |
| Hesperetin conventional | $4.52 \pm 0.75^*$    | $5.31 \pm 0.60*$         | $98.7 \pm 0.62*$             | $105.7 \pm 0.49*$    | 1.5 *   |
| Hesperetin NE           | $2.12 \pm 0.12$ **   | $14.83 \pm 0.67 **$      | 598.32 ± 0.98**              | $645.41 \pm 0.91$ ** | 4.7 **  |
| Vitamin C conventional  | $4.78 \pm 0.36*$     | $6.08 \pm 0.75*$         | $103.9 \pm 0.42*$            | $114.3 \pm 0.84*$    | 3.0 *   |
| Vitamin C NE            | 2.78 ± 0.12**        | 14.33 ± 0.98**           | 607.09 ± 0.23**              | 798.05 ± 0.43**      | 5.0**   |

Nanoemulsion (NE). All values are expressed as Mean  $\pm$  SD. \*\*p<0.001= highly significant, \* p<0.05= significant.

# 3.11 Acute toxicity study

# 3.12 Clinical observations

No abnormal behavior and mortality was found in experimental group during 14 days of period. Physical observations of the treated rats revealed that not one of them had any toxicological symptoms, including changes in their skin, fur, eyes, mucous membranes, tremors, salivation, diarrhoea, sleeplessness, or coma. In comparison to the control group, increase in body weight was seen (Table 5).

| Table 5: Behavioral parar | neters |
|---------------------------|--------|
|---------------------------|--------|

| Parameters      | 30 | min | 4 h |    | 24 h |    | 48 h |    | 7 days |    | 14 days |    |
|-----------------|----|-----|-----|----|------|----|------|----|--------|----|---------|----|
|                 | NC | NE  | NC  | NE | NC   | NE | N C  | NE | NC     | NE | NC      | NE |
| Skin and Fur    | NR | NR  | NR  | NR | NR   | NR | NR   | NR | NR     | NR | NR      | NR |
| Mucous membrane | NR | NR  | NR  | NR | NR   | NR | NR   | NR | NR     | NR | NR      | NR |
| Eyes            | NR | NR  | NR  | NR | NR   | NR | NR   | NR | NR     | NR | NR      | NR |
| Salivation      | NR | NR  | NR  | NR | NR   | NR | NR   | NR | NR     | NR | NR      | NR |
| Sleep           | NR | NR  | NR  | NR | NR   | NR | NR   | NR | NR     | NR | NR      | NR |
| Lethargy        | NF | NF  | NF  | NF | NF   | NF | NF   | NF | NF     | NF | NF      | NF |
| Convulsion      | NF | NF  | NF  | NF | NF   | NF | NF   | NF | NF     | NF | NF      | NF |
| Coma            | NF | NF  | NF  | NF | NF   | NF | NF   | NF | NF     | NF | NF      | NF |
| Tremors         | NF | NF  | NF  | NF | NF   | NF | NF   | NF | NF     | NF | NF      | NF |
| Diarrhea        | NF | NF  | NF  | NF | NF   | NF | NF   | NF | NF     | NF | NF      | NF |
| Morbidity       | NF | NF  | NF  | NF | NF   | NF | NF   | NF | NF     | NF | NF      | NF |
| Mortality       | NF | NF  | NF  | NF | NF   | NF | NF   | NF | NF     | NF | NF      | NF |

NC-Normal control, NE-Nanoemulsion, NR-Normal, NF-Not Found.

# 3.13 Body weight, food and water consumption

NE-17 treated and control rats body weights results are shown in (Table 6). After the first and 2 weeks of the study, the body weight was not increased significantly and found to be 0.91 and 0.74 of normal control and NE-17, respectively. There were slight increases

in body weight of NE-17 and control rats. The food and water consumption of the treated rats were also not found significant when compared to the control rats (Table 7). Therefore slight increase in body weights during the study period may indicate the improvement in the nutritional state of the animals.

| Table 6: | Body | Weight  | of treated | group | during | 14 | davs |
|----------|------|---------|------------|-------|--------|----|------|
| Table 0. | Douy | " ungnu | or treated | Stoup | uuring | 17 | uays |

| Groups  | Body weight (g)              |              |                       |  |                       | Weight gain (%) |  |  |
|---|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------|--|--|
|   | (day-                        | 0)           | (day-7)               |  | (day-14)              |                 |  |  |
| NC  | 174.1 ± (                    | 0.9          | $174.3 \pm 0.72$      |  | $175.7 \pm 0.37$      | 0.91            |  |  |
| NE  | 175.1 ± 1                    | .05          | $175.9 \pm 0.95$      |  | $176.4 \pm 0.17$      | 0.74            |  |  |
| Table 7: Food and water consumption of treated group during 14 days |                              |              |                       |  |                       |                 |  |  |
| Groups  | Groups Water intake (ml/day) |              |                       |  | Food in               | take (g/day)    |  |  |
| NC  |                              | 27.09 ± 1.73 |                       |  | $22.48 \pm 1.37$      |                 |  |  |
| NE  |                              |              | $26.99 \pm 1.37^{ns}$ |  | $23.07 \pm 1.58^{ns}$ |                 |  |  |

Results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, ns = not significant

# 3.14 Relative organ weight

Figure 7 represent the organ weight (liver, brain, heart, kidneys, and spleen) in relation to body weight of the rat used in the acute toxicity study. The organ weight-to-body weight ratio did not alter following a single dose NE-17 (2000 mg/kg) compared to the control group.

#### 3.15 Hematology parameter

Haematological parameters were assessed in this study to evaluate NE-17's toxicity in SD rats. Table 8 illustrates the hematological parameters of the experimental and control rats. None of the experimental group's rats showed changes in hematological profiles.



Figure 7: Effects of NE-17 and normal control treated rats on relative organ weights during acute toxicity study.

| Table 8: Hematology parameter of normal control and NE-17 |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Parameters  | NC              | NE-17           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TWBC (10 <sup>9</sup> /l)                                 | $2.1 \pm 0.9$   | $2.01 \pm 0.05$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neutrophils (%)   | $39 \pm 0.15$   | $38 \pm 0.04$   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basophils (%)   | $00 \pm 00$     | $00 \pm 00$     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eosinophils (%)   | $02 \pm 0.5$    | $03 \pm 0.9$    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lymphocytes (%)   | $52 \pm 0.11$   | $53\pm0.07$     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monocytes (%)   | $07 \pm 0.75$   | $06 \pm 0.32$   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Platelet (×109 /l)  | $432 \pm 0.25$  | $436 \pm 0.12$  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RBC (×1012/l)   | $4.81 \pm 0.21$ | $5.12 \pm 0.71$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hb (g/dl)   | $14.1 \pm 1.01$ | $15.3 \pm 0.25$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PCV%  | $30.2 \pm 0.01$ | $30.6 \pm 0.04$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.C.V. (Fl)   | $81.4 \pm 0.3$  | $82.9 \pm 0.1$  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.C.H (Pg)  | $20.4 \pm 0.4$  | $19.5 \pm 0.3$  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.C.H.C (g/dl)  | $30.7 \pm 1.01$ | $31.5 \pm 0.08$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RDW-CV%   | $13.4 \pm 0.5$  | $14 \pm 0.2$    |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| ble | 8: | Hematology | parameter | of | normal | control | and | NE-1 |  |
|-----|----|------------|-----------|----|--------|---------|-----|------|--|
|-----|----|------------|-----------|----|--------|---------|-----|------|--|

### 3.16 Liver and kidney functions tests

Liver and kidney function tests are important parameters in determining the safety of drug (Meenakshi et al., 2012). Liver enzymes: AST, ALP, ALT, and kidney function test: BUN, creatinine, albumin and globulin level did not increase in both groups. Increases levels of these biomarkers are reliable indices of liver and kidney toxicity that altered integrity of cellular membrane and cell death.

AST and ALT are the biomarkers of liver function tests. However, AST is not a highly specific indicator for liver injury because it is found in other tissues like the heart, muscles, kidney, and brain, unlike ALT which is found largely in the liver (Mariappan et al., 2012). Hence, the acute oral toxicity study of NE-17 indicating that it does not have significant toxic effects on the liver and kidneys (Figure 8).





Figure 8: Effects of oral administration of NE-17 treated group and normal control on liver and kidney function tests. Values are expressed as mean ± SD of 3 rats per group.

# 3.17 Histopathology

NE-17 treated rats showed normal liver structure with portal triad surrounded by the hepatocytes and narrow sinusoids open freely into the portal vein when compared to control group. Heart section showed individual cardiac muscle cells arranged in bundle normally and kidney showed normal glomeruli and tubular epithelial cell in in both group. No alterations were observed in the brain in both groups. Spleen section showed normal histomorphology of red and white pulp of spleen in NC and NE-17 treated group. Hence rats of both groups did not cause any evidence of tissue damage of organ as compared to control groups (Figure 9). Therefore, the results suggest that the administration of 2000 mg/kg NE-17 was not toxic after an acute exposure.





Figure 9: Histopathological evaluation, of liver (A), heart (B), kidney (C), brain (D), spleen (E) after NE-17 treatment.

# 4. Discussion

Increasing bioavailability and solubility of the poorly soluble drug is the main reason for the designing of new delivery system that enhances the effectiveness of already existing drug. Lipid based drug delivery system design in such a manner that gives an advanced approach to increase drug solubilization in gastrointestinal tract, consequently improve the oral bioavailability of poorly water soluble drug. Moreover, it also provides a protective carrier for preventing drug degradation. Drug containing nanoformulation was prepared by low energy (water titration) method which demonstrated good stability over 90 days and higher solubility. The cumulative % drug release of NE-17 nanoformulation was significantly increased in gastric as well as intestinal fluid when compare to suspension. According to *in vivo* pharmacokinetic study NE-17 (TQ 6.21, HP 6.06, vit. C) 5.84 fold higher than conventional nanoemulsion enhanced the drug absorption and improving oral bioavailability. In summary, the drug loaded nanoemulsion might enhance the bioavailability of drug by raising drug solubility and drug intestinal permeability. Although,

improved bioavailability would allow reducing the dose as well as side effects. The results of the acute toxicity study revealed that the experimental animals did not exhibit any behavioural changes, toxicological signs, or other negative effects when treating with NE-17 formulation orally for a period of 14 days. This finding suggests that NE-17 formulation has significant potential as a novel sustainable release medication against the disease that does not have acute toxic side effects. Therefore, the combination of thymoquinone, hesperetin and vitamin C in nanoformulation is of great importance owning to the potential of generating a new option as an effective adjuvant therapy in various diseases as two or more drug together can act synergistically.

#### 5. Conclusion

NE-17 nanoformulation results indicate sustained release property for a longer duration and a higher relative bioavailability. These properties may be useful in preventing drug degradation and resolving issues with poorly water soluble drugs. 2000 mg/kg dose of NE-17 was found to be safe in rats and does not showed any toxic effect. The results provide safety information on combination nanoformulation of NE-17, which would further help researchers on its therapeutic use as a functional food.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors express their deep sense of gratitude to Faculty of Pharmacy, Integral University, for their encouragement and support. The author also thanks Dean, Faculty of Pharmacy, and Integral University for providing valuable suggestions while preparing this manuscript. The university has provided a manuscript communication number for further communication (IU/R&D/2024-MCN0002782).

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

#### References

- Ahmad, M.; Sahabjada.; Akhtar, J.; Hussain, A.; Badaruddeen.; Arshad, M. and Mishra, A. (2017) Development of a new rutin nanoemulsion and its application on prostate carcinoma pc3 cell line. EXCLI. J., 16:810-823. https://doi.org/10.17179/excli2016-668.
- Ahmad, U.; Akhtar, J.; Singh, S.P.; Ahmad, F.J. and Siddiqui, S. (2018). Silymarin nanoemulsion against human hepatocellular carcinoma: Development and optimization. Artif. Cells. Nanomed. Biotechnol., 46(2):231-241. https://doi.org/10.1080/21691401. 2017.1324465.
- Akhtar, J.; Siddiqui, H.H.; Fareed, S.; Badruddeen.; Khalid, M. and Aqil, M. (2016). Nanoemulsionfor improved oral delivery of repaglinide. Drug. Deliv., 23(6):2026-2034. https://doi.org/10.3109/10 717544. 2015. 1077290.
- Akhtar, J.; Siddiqui, H.H.; Badruddeen.; Fareed, S. and Aqil, M. (2014). Nanomulsion as a carrier for efficient delivery of metformin. Curr. Drug. Deliv., 11(2):243-252. https://doi.org/10.2174/1567201 81 102140411160817
- Akhtar, J.; Hussain, S.H.; Fareed, S. and Aqil, M. (2014). Nanomulsion as a carrier for efficient delivery of metformin. Curr. Drug. Deliv., 11(2):243-252.
- Alhakamy, N.A.; Aldawsari, H.M.; Hosny, K. M.; Ahmad, J.; Akhter, S.; Kammoun, A.K.; Alghaith, A.F.; Asfour, H. Z.; Al-Rabia, M.W. and M.d, S. (2020).

Formulation design and pharmacokinetic evaluation of docosahexaenoic acid containing self-nanoemulsifying drug delivery system for oral administration. Nanomater. and. Nanotechno., 10 https://doi.org/10.1177/18 47980420950988.

- Alotaibi, F.O.; Mustafa, G. and Ahuja, A. (2018). Study of enhanced antiinflammatory potential of *Nigella sativa* in topical nanoformulation. Int. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci., 10(7):41-51
- Alper, G; Olukman, M.; Irer, S.; Caòlayan, O.; Duman, E.; Yilmaz, C. and Ulker, S. (2006). Effect of vitamin E and C supplementation combined with oral antidiabetic therapy on the endothelial dysfunction in the neonatally streptozotocin injected diabetic rat. Diab. Metab. Res., 22(3):190-197. https://doi.org/10.1002/dmrr.586.
- Aqeel, R.; Srivastava, N. and Kushwaha, P. (2022). Micelles in cancer therapy: an update on preclinical and clinical status. Recent Patents on Nanotechnology, 16(4):283-294.
- Aqeel, R.; Hafeez, A. and Usmani, S.A. (2023). Nanocarrier-based systems for co-delivery of drugs in the management of skin cancer: A review. Curr. Nanomed., 13(3):188-198.
- Bai, X.; Yang, P.; Zhou, Q.; Cai, B.; Buist, H.M.; Cheng, H.; Jiang, J.; Shen, D.; Li, L.; Luo, X. and Faber, K.N. (2017). The protective effect of the natural compound hesperetin against fulminant hepatitis *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Brit. J. Pharmacol., 174(1):41-56.
- Bhosale, R.; Bhandwalkar, O.; Duduskar, A.; Jadhav, R. and Pawar, P. (2016). Water soluble chitosan mediated voriconazole microemulsion as sustained carrier for ophthalmic application: *in vitro/ex vivo/in vivo* evaluations. Open. Pharma. Sci. J., 3(1). https://doi.org/10.2174/ 18748449016 03010215.
- Chaieb, K.; Kouidhi, B.; Jrah, H.; Mahdouani, K. and Bakhrouf, A. (2011). Antibacterial activity of thymoquinone, an active principle of *Nigella sativa* and its potency to prevent bacterial biofilm formation. BMC. Complement. Altern. Med., 11:29. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1472-6882-11-29.
- Chavhan, S.S.; Petkar, K.C. and Sawant, K.K. (2013). Simvastatin nanoemulsion for improved oral delivery: design, characterisation, *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. J. Microencapsul., 30(8):771-779. https://doi.org/ 10.3109/02652048.2013.788085.
- Deka, N.J.; Nath, R.; Tamuly, S.; Hazorika, M.; Pegu, S.R.; Moni, H. and Deka, S.M. (2021). Green synthesis and characterization of silver nanoparticles using leaves extract of Neem (*Azadirachta indica* L.) and assessment of its *in vitro* antioxidant and antibacterial activity. Ann. Phytomed., 10(1):171-177
- Duarah, S.; Durai, R.D. and Narayanan, V.B. (2017). Nanoparticle-in-gel system for delivery of vitamin c for topical application. Drug. Deliv. Transl. Res., 7(5):750-760. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13346-017-0398-z.
- Gupta, A.; Akhtar, J.; Rastogy, K.C Badaruddeen.; Irfan, M. and Kumar, S. (2023). Enteric coated microgranules of pantoprazole sodium in gastroesophageal reflux disease. Ann. phytomed., 12(1).
- Harkach, S.; Qari, Y.; Tashkandi, H.; Almuhayawi, M.; Saber, S.; Aljahdli, E.; elshitany, N.; Shaker, S.; Lucas, F.; Alamri, T.; Al-Jaouni, S.; Mousa, S.; Harkach, S.; Qari, Y.; Tashkandi, H.; Almuhayawi, M.; Hassan, S.; Aljahdali, E.; El-Shitany, N. and Harakeh, S. (2021). Thymoquinone nanoparticle protects against cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity in ehrlich carcinoma model without compromising cisplatin anticancer efficacy. J. King. Saud. Univers. Sci., 34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2021.101675.

- Hosny, K.M.; Al Nahyah, K.S. and Alhakamy, N.A. (2020). Self-nanoemulsion loaded with a combination of isotretinoin, an antiacne drug, and quercetin: preparation, optimization, and *in vivo* assessment. Pharmaceutics., 13(1):46. https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics13010046.
- Jahan, A.; Ali, A.; Usama, A.; Akhtar, K.; Badruddeen. and Siddiqui, S. (2023). Exploring the anticancer potential of caffeic acid naonemulsion: ROS induced apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in HCT116 colorectal cancer cells. Ann. phytomed., 12:203-214.
- Jeong, Y.J.; Hong, S.W.; Kim, J.H.; Jin, D.H.; Kang, J.S.; Lee, W.J. and Hwang, Y. (2011). Vitamin C-treated murine bone marrow-derived dendritic cells preferentially drive naïve t cells into th1 cells by increased IL-12 secretions. Cell. Immunol., 266(2):192-199. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cell imm.2010. 10.005.
- Kim, H.K.; Jeong, T.S.; Lee, M.K.; Park, Y.B. and Choi, M.S. (2003). Lipid-lowering efficacy of hesperetin metabolites in high-cholesterol fed rats. Clin. Chim., 327(1-2):129-137. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0009-8981(02)00344-3.
- Khani, S.; Keyhanfar, F. and Amani, A. (2016). Design and evaluation of oral nanoemulsion drug delivery system of mebudipine. Drug. Deliv., 23(6):2035-2043. https://doi.org/10.3109/107175 44.2015.10885 97.
- Kucuksayan, E.; Bozkurt, F.; Yilmaz, M.T.; Sircan-Kucuksayan, A.; Hanikoglu, and A. Ozben, T. (2021). A new combination strategy to enhance apoptosis in cancer cells by using nanoparticles as biocompatible drug delivery carriers. Scient. Report, 11(1):13027.
- Kumari, N.; Akhtar, J.; Badaruddeen, Ahmad, M. and Irfan, M. (2023). Formulation development and *in vivo* evaluation of nanoemulgel containing *Adhatoda vasaka* (L.) Nees extract for wound healing activity. Ann. phytomed., 12(2).
- Laxmi, M.; Bhardwaj, A.; Mehta, S. and Mehta, A. (2015). Development and characterization of nanoemulsion as carrier for the enhancement of bioavailability of artemether. Artif. Cells. Nanomed. Biotechnol., 43(5):334-344. https://doi.org/10.3109/21691401.2014.887018.
- Luo, Q.; Jiang, M.; Kou, L.; Zhang, L.; Li, G; Yao, Q.; Shang, L. and Chen, Y. (2018). Ascorbate-conjugated nanoparticles for promoted oral delivery of therapeutic drugs *via* sodium-dependent vitamin C transporter 1 (SVCT1). Artif. Cells. Nanomed. Biotechnol., 46(1):198-208. https:/ /doi.org/10.1080/21691401.2017.1417864.
- Maiti, K.; Murugan, V.; Saha, B. and Mukherjee, P. (2009). Exploring the effect of hesperetin-HSPC complex-A Novel drug delivery system on the *in vitro* release, therapeutic efficacy and pharmacokinetics. AAPS. Pharm. Sci. Tech., 10:943-950. https://doi.org/10.1208/s12249-009-9282-6.
- Mahgoub, A. A. (2003). Thymoquinone protects against experimental colitis in rats. Toxicol., 143(2):133-143. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0378-4274(03)00173-5.
- Mariappan, G; Sutharson, L.; Srivastav, T.; Kumar, D. and Patangia, U. (2012). Pharmacological and toxicological evaluation of some novel 2substituted 4,5-diphenyl imidazole derivatives. Pharmacologia, 3:258-266.
- Meenakshi, V.; Gomathy, S. and Chamundeswari, K. (2012). Acute and subchronic oral toxicity of microcosmus exasperatus Heller, 1878. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. Res., 2:94-98.

- Patel, R.B.; Patel, M.R.; Bhatt, K.K. and Patel, B.G. (2013). Formulation consideration and characterization of microemulsion drug delivery system for transnasal administration of carbamazepine. Bulletin of Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University., 51(2):243-253. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.bfopcu.2013.07.002.
- Qin, X.; Liu, J.; Du, Y.; Li, Y.; Zheng, L.; Chen, G and Cao, Y. (2019). Different doses of vitamin C supplementation enhance the th1 immune response to early *plasmodium yoelii* 17xl infection in balb/c mice. Int. Immunopharmacol., 70:387-395. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.intimp.2019.02.031.
- Raceszadeh, M.; Karimfar, B.; Amiri, A.A. and Akbari, A. (2021). Protective effect of nano vitamin C on infertility due to oxidative stress induced by lead and arsenic in male rats. J. Chem., (1): p.9589345
- Rathore, C.; Hemrajani, C.; Sharma, A.K.; Gupta, P.K.; Jha, N.K.; Aljabali, A.A.; Gupta, G; Singh, S.K.; Yang, J.C.; Dwivedi, R.P.; Dua, K.; Chellappan, D.K.; Negi, P. and Tambuwala, M.M. (2022). Self-nanoemulsifying drug delivery system (SNEDDS) mediated improved oral bioavailability of thymoquinone: optimization, characterization, pharmacokinetic, and hepatotoxicity studies. Drug. Deliv. Transl. Res., https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s13346-022-01193-8.
- Rocha, J.M.; Souza, V.B.; Panunto, P.C.; Nicolosi, J.S.; Silva, E.D.; Cadore, S.; Londono, O.M.; Muraca T.P.; de, B.M. and Nadruz, W. (2022). *In vitro* and *in vivo* acute toxicity of a novel citrate-coated magnetite nanoparticle. Plos. one, 17(11):e0277396
- Sh, J.; J, G; R, S.; S, Z; M, P. and H, KH. (2010). Thymoquinone and cisplatin as a therapeutic combination in lung cancer: *In vitro* and *in vivo*. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer., 29:87-87. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-9966-29-87.
- Shariq, M.; Mahmood, T.; Kushwaha, P.; Parveen, S.; Shamim, A.; Ahsan, F.; Wani, TA.; Zargar, S.; Wasim, R. and Wahajuddin, M. (2023). Fabrication of nanoformulation containing carvedilol and silk protein sericin against doxorubicin induced cardiac damage in rats. Pharmaceuticals., 16(4):561.
- Singh, B.; Awasthi, R.; Ahmad, A. and Saifi, A. (2018). Phytosome: most significant tool for herbal drug delivery to enhance the therapeutic benefits of phytoconstituents. J. Drug. Deliv. Therapeut., 8(1):98-102. https://doi.org/10.22270/jddt.v8i1.1559.
- Singh, A.; Ansari, V.A.; Mahmood, T.; Ahsan, F.; Wasim, R.; Maheshwari, S.; Shariq, M.; Parveen, S. and Shamim, A. (2024). Emerging nanotechnology for the treatment of alzheimer's disease. CNS and Neurological Disorders-Drug Targets, 23(6):687-696.
- Srivastava, S.; Mohammad, S.; Pant, A.B.; Mishra, P.R.; Pandey, G; Gupta, S. and Farooqui, S. (2018). Co-Delivery of 5-Fluorouracil and curcumin nanohybrid formulations for improved chemotherapy against oral squamous cell carcinoma. J. Maxillofac. Oral. Surg., 17(4):597-610. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12663-018-1126-z.
- Suyal, J.; Bhatt, G and Singh, N. (2018). Formulation and evaluation of nanoemulsion for enhanced bioavailability of Itraconazole. Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Res., 9:2927-2931
- Tarik, A.A.; Saeed, A.M.H. and Alaayedi, M. (2021). Nanoemulsion and solid nanoemulsion for improving oral delivery of a breast cancer drug: formulation, evaluation, and a comparison study. Saudi. Pharm. J., 29(11):1278-1288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsps.2021.09.016

- Tian, X.L.; Tian, D.F.; Wang, Z.Y. and Mo, F.K. (2009). Synthesis and evaluation of chitosan-vitamin C complex. Ind. J. Pharm. Sci., 71(4):371-376. https://doi.org/10.4103/0250-474X.57284.
- Tubesha, Z.; Imam, M.U.; Mahmud, R. and Ismail, M. (2013). Study on the potential toxicity of a thymoquinone-rich fraction nanoemulsion in sprague dawley rats. Molecules, 18(7):7460-7472.
- Xiong, Y.; Xiong, Y.; Zhou, S.; Sun, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Ren, X.; Zhang, Y. and Zhang, N. (2017). Vitamin C and E supplements enhance the antioxidant capacity of erythrocytes obtained from aged rats. Rejuvenation, 20(2):85-92. https://doi.org/10.1089/rej.2016.1835.
- Ye, J.Y.; Chen, Z.Y.; Huang, C.L.; Huang, B.; Zheng, Y.R.; Zhang, Y.F.; Lu, B.Y.; He, L.; Liu, C.S. and Long, X.Y. (2020). A non-lipolysis nanoemulsion improved oral bioavailability by reducing the first-pass metabolism of raloxifene, and related absorption mechanisms being studied. Int. J. Nanomed., 15:6503-6518. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S259993.

Yousaf,A.M.; Mustapha, O.; Kim, D.W; Kim, D.S.; Kim, K.S.; Jin, S.G; Yong, C.S.; Youn, Y.S.; Oh, Y.K.; Kim, J.O. and Choi, H.G. (2016). Novel electrosprayed nanospherules for enhanced aqueous solubility and oral bioavailability of poorly water-soluble fenofibrate. Int. J. Nanomed., 11:213-221. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S97496.

- Zeng, F.; Wang, D.; Tian, Y.; Wang, M.; Liu, R.; Xia, Z. and Huang, Y. (2021). Nanoemulsion for improving the oral bioavailability of hesperetin: formulation optimization and absorption mechanism. J. Pharm. Sci., 110(6):2555-2561. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xphs.2021 .02.030.
- Zhao, L.; Wei, Y.; Huang, Y.; He, B.; Zhou, Y. and Fu, J. (2013). Nanoemulsion improves the oral bioavailability of baicalin in rats: *In vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation. IJN., 8(1):3769-3779. https://doi.org/10.2147/ IJN.S51578.
- Zhang, Y.; Shang, Z.; Gao, C.; Du, M.; Xu, S.; Song, H. and Liu, T. (2014). Nanoemulsion for solubilization, stabilization, and *in vitro* release of pterostilbene for oral delivery. AAPS. Pharm. Sci.Tech., 15(4):1000-1008. https://doi.org/10.1208/s12249-014-0129-4.

Gazala Noor, Badruddeen, Juber Akhtar, Mohammad Ahmad and Mohammad Irfan Khan (2024). Development, characterization and acute toxicity study of nanoemulsion containing thymoquinone, hesperetin along with vitamin c for improving oral bioavailability. Ann. Phytomed., 13(1):1031-1045. http://dx.doi.org/10.54085/ap.2024.13.1.111.